		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	an/	10/734,952	LOPEZ ET AL.
	u, y	Examiner	Art Unit
	<u></u>	Jermie Cozart	3726
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Hani Sayed</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2006		Time: <u>1:12pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 13			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Sayed agreed to amend claims 1, 12, and 13 by examiner's amendment in order to correct a spelling error in claim 1, change the dependency of claim 12 so as so to provide proper antecedent basis for the limitations of claim 13, in order to place the application in condition for allowance.